Crypto Agility – Prepare for the unexpected Resilience against quantum (and other) threats with crypto agility

Kristof Verslype, PhD. Cryptographer, Smals Research

12 June 2025

Management summary & key take aways at the end



Smals Research



Cryptography is Everywhere

Devices

- IoT
- Smartcard (eld, bank)
- Smartphone
- Servers
- House
- 🛠 Car
- Plane
- ✤ Satellite
- ***** ..

Interactions

- Financial transactions
- Secure communication
- Document signing
- ✤ Authentication
- * ...

Domain

- Defense / military
- Public sector
- Private sector
- ✤ Individuals
- ••• ...

WITHOUT SECURE CRYPTOGRAPHY, OUR SOCIETY COLLAPSES



Cryptography Under Threat

Cryptographically relevant quantum computers

Would be able to break modern (public-key) cryptography

"To ensure an acceptable level of readiness, we recommend that [the most sensitive use cases] should be protected against 'store now, decrypt later' attacks as soon as possible, latest by the end of 2030."

Joint statement from partners from 18 EU member states (11/2024)





Impact cryptographically relevant quantum computers on modern cryptography



Baseri, Y., Chouhan, V., Ghorbani, A., & Chow, A. (2024). Evaluation Framework for Quantum Security Risk Assessment: A Comprehensive Study for Quantum-Safe Migration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.08231*.

Quantum computers Vs. Modern public-key cryptography



How to factor 2048 bit RSA integers with less than a million noisy qubits

Craig Gidney

Google Quantum AI, Santa Barbara, California 93117, USA

May 28, 2025

Planning the transition to quantum-safe cryptosystems requires understanding the cost of quantum attacks on vulnerable cryptosystems. In Gidney+Ekerå 2019, I co-published an estimate stating that 2048 bit RSA integers could be factored in eight hours by a quantum computer with 20 million noisy qubits. In this paper, I substantially reduce the number of qubits required. I estimate that a 2048 bit RSA integer could be factored in less than a week by a quantum computer with less than a million noisy qubits. I make the same assumptions as in 2019: a square grid of qubits with nearest neighbor connections, a uniform gate error rate of 0.1%, a surface code cycle time of 1 microsecond, and a control system reaction time of 10 microseconds.

The qubit count reduction comes mainly from using approximate residue arithmetic (Chevignard+Fouque+Schrottenloher 2024), from storing idle logical qubits with yoked surface codes (Gidney+Newman+Brooks+Jones 2023), and from allocating less space to magic state distillation by using magic state cultivation (Gidney+Shutty+Jones 2024). The longer runtime is mainly due to performing more Toffoli gates and using fewer magic state factories compared to Gidney+Ekerå 2019. That said, I reduce the Toffoli count by over 100x compared to Chevignard+Fouque+Schrottenloher 2024.



Quantum computers Vs. Modern public-key cryptography



Harvest now, decrypt later

Encrypted communication intercepted today can be encrypted in the future





Cryptography Under Threat

Cryptographically relevant quantum computers

Would be able to break modern (public-key) cryptography

"To ensure an acceptable level of readiness, we recommend that [the most sensitive use cases] should be protected against 'store now, decrypt later' attacks as soon as possible, latest by the end of 2030."

Joint statement from partners from 18 EU member states (11/2024)



Other threats

- Increasing computing power
- Cryptanalysis
- Side-channel attacks in implementations
 Applies on modern and post-quantum cryptography





NEWS COMPUTING

"Quantum-Safe" Crypto Hacked by 10-Year-Old PC > Many challenges still lie ahead for postquantum cryptography

BY <u>CHARLES Q. CHOI</u> | 19 AUG 2022 | 7 MIN READ | 🗍

Charles Q. Choi is a contributing editor for IEEE Spectrum.

SHARE THIS STORY

🖂 🖋 🗶 f in

TAGS

QUANTUM COMPUTING

CRYPTOGRAPHY NIST

POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRA...

FUTURE QUANTUM COMPUTERS may rapidly break modern cryptography. Now researchers find that a promising algorithm designed to protect computers from these advanced attacks could get broken in just 4 minutes. And the catch is that 4-minute time stamp was not achieved by a cutting-edge machine but by a regular 10-year-old desktop computer. This latest, surprising defeat highlights the many hurdles postquantum cryptography will need to clear before adoption, researchers say.

Cryptography Under Threat

Cryptographically relevant quantum computers Would be able to break modern (public-key) cryptography

"To ensure an acceptable level of readiness, we recommend that [the most sensitive use cases] should be protected against 'store now, decrypt later' attacks as soon as possible, latest by the end of 2030."

Joint statement from partners from 18 EU member states (11/2024)



Other threats

- Increasing computing power
- Cryptanalysis
- Side-channel attacks in implementations
- Applies on modern and post-quantum cryptography





→ MIGRATE ON TIME TO RECOMMENDED CRYPTOGRAPHY

Cryptographic migrations

SYMMETRIC ENCRYPTION DES **3DES** AES ARIA 1977 1999 2001 2004 **SECURE HASH FUNCTION** MD5 SHA-1 SHA-2 SHA-3 1991 2001 2015 1995 **PUBLIC KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY**

(E.g., digital signatures, key agreement, authentication)



Insecure
Phase-out
Secure / Recommended
Planned

MULTIPLE CRYPTO MIGRATIONS IN THE PAST

SLOW, CUMBERSOME AND EXPENSIVE PROCESS - TAKES 5 TO 15 YEARS TO MIGRATE



Cryptographic migrations



PUBLIC KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY

(E.g., digital signatures, key agreement, authentication)



Insecure
 Phase-out
 Secure / Recommended
 Planned

POTENTIALLY MULTIPLE CRYPTO MIGRATIONS IN THE NOT-SO-DISTANT FUTURE!

Becoming Quantum-ready May not be a onetime shot



Transitional period in Hybrid Mode

Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik

The quantum-safe algorithms that are currently being standardized are not yet as well researched as the "classical" methods (for example RSA and ECC). This applies in particular to weaknesses that largely only become apparent in applications, such as typical implementation errors, possible sidechannel attacks, etc. BSI therefore that recommends post-quantum cryptography should not be used in isolation if possible, but only in hybrid mode, i.e. in combination with classical algorithms. [...] Hash-based signatures can in principle also be used on its own (i.e., not in hybrid mode).

Quantum-safe cryptography –fundamentals, current developments and recommendations. October 2022





Crypto migrations

Challenge

- Multiple in the past & multiple in the future
- Slow and cumbersome process Takes 5 to 15 years to migrate
- \rightarrow How to facilitate smooth migrations?

Approach

Cryptographic algorithms have a life cycle Recommended \rightarrow Secure \rightarrow Phase out \rightarrow Insecure Cryptography: Assets that need to be managed

We should accept this and act on it!

Improve cryptographic maturity

Insight

Crypto inventory Where what crypto for which purpose?

Guidance

Crypto policy What cryptography should (not) be used?

Flexibility

Crypto agility Migrate easily from/to crypto mechanisms



Transport Layer Security (TLS)

Example of cryptographic protocol agility (see RFC7696)



Handshake

- Agree on TLS version (1.2 or 1.3)
- Agree on cipher suite
- Authenticate
- Generate shared session keys



Cryptographic service offers **abstract API** to application / service

Cryptographic functions selected in real-time

Add and remove Cryptographic functions

Cryptographic functions: Hardware, software, firmware, algorithms, parameters, ...



National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2016) Cryptographic Agility and Interoperability: Proceedings of a Workshop. Forum on Cyber Resilience Workshop Series. (The National Academies Press, Washington, DC). https://doi.org/10.17226/24636

Transport Layer Security (TLS)

Example of cryptographic protocol agility (see RFC7696)





ICT for society

Agenda



- Why & What?
- In the Public Sector
- Crypto Inventory
- Crypto Policy as Code
- Cryptography in Hybrid Mode
- Outlook & Challenges
- Wrapping Up



Transport Layer Security (TLS)

Concept being developed by Smals Research





National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2016) Cryptographic Agility and Interoperability: Proceedings of a Workshop. Forum on Cyber Resilience Workshop Series. (The National Academies Press, Washington, DC). https://doi.org/10.17226/24636

Sepia - Service for digital signatures

Service developed by Smals

Functionality

- Creates digital signatures on behalf of public sector organisations and services
- Automated or with human intervention
- Storage of signed documents with signature
- Secure storage of certificates and secret keys

Motivation

- Cost reduction by reuse See reuse catalog [1]
- Increase security
- Crypto agility!

CRYPTO AGILITY AND COST EFFICIENCY CAN COEXIST





Blind Pseudonimisation Service eHealth

Runner-up for *Best Cybersecurity Innovation Europe* award issued by Cybersec Europe

Data minimisation

- Doctor only sees identifiers
- Backend only sees pseudonyms
- Pseudon. service sees neither

Reduced overhead

- Direct communication between healthcare professional and prescription service
- ✤ No in-between entity

Low-intrusive side professional

- No extra keys required
- Relatively simple implementation

Scenario

Doctor requests Prescription service to register medical prescription



HOW QUANTUM RESISTANT IS THIS SOLUTION?



Blind Pseudonimisation Service eHealth

Cryptography

- Mix of symmetric and public-key crypto
- Designed before NIST PQC standards (like close to all applications in the world)

Analysis

Communication (red lines)

- ✤ Most important → harvest now decrypt later
- Update TLS clients
- Not different from other applications

Pseudonymisation

- Single quantum risk: backend-stored pseudonyms
- Roadmap to mitigate risk
 - 1. Alternative based on lattices (PQC)
 - 2. Integration of crypto agility
 - 3. Defining procedures
 - 4. Actual migration

Scenario

Doctor requests Prescription service to register medical prescription



Smals is early by proactively working on quantum-readiness & Crypto Agility



Cryptographic Agility in the Belgian Public Sector

TLS

- Deriving TLS configs from central policy-as-code and deviations-as-code
- Status: Research

Blind pseudon. service

- Quantum-resistant pseudonymisation being developed
- Crypto-agility being examined
- Status: Research

Sepia

- Central, flexible service for document signing
- Status: Live

Key Vault

- Storing middleware keys in a central vault
- Status: Soon live

Central certificate mgt.

- Automatic TLS certificate install & updates
- Status: Soon live

Smals is early with crypto-agility & taking initiatives Nevertheless, a long road ahead of us!



SHA1 SHA-224 (SHA-2) **SHA-256** (SHA-2) **SHA-384** (SHA-2) **SHA-512** (SHA-2)

Agenda

- Why & What?
- In the Public Sector
- Crypto Inventory
- Crypto Policy as Code
- Cryptography in Hybrid Mode
- Outlook & Challenges
- Wrapping Up





IMPOSSIBLE MANUALLY – AUTOMATED PROCESSES REQUIRED EXPRESS CRYPTOGRAPHY INVENTORY IN MACHINE-READABLE WAY

github.com/keycloak/keycloak

CBOMkit

128 cryptographic assets found. Scanned **616.7K** lines of code across **5.3K** files. Took **2m 21s** to scan (**4m 7s** in total).

gitUrl: https://github.com/keycloak/keycloak revision: main commit: f8a4a8d

Not compliant – This CBOM does not comply with the policy "quantum_safe". Source: Basic Backend Compliance Service



List of all assets 📀 Scan finished

¢

 $\overline{\gamma}$ Download CBOM

Cryptographic asset	Туре	Primitive	Location		
PUBLIC-KEY	Related Crypto Material	Unspecified	BCFIPSECDSACryptoProvider.java:85		л К
RAW	Algorithm	Other	HmacOTP.java:159		ر م
EDDSA	Algorithm	Digital Signature	GeneratedEddsaKeyProvider.java:50		ر م
EDDSA EDDSA	Algorithm	Digital Signature	GeneratedEddsaKey	ProviderFactory.java:133	ر م
HMAC-SHA256	Algorithm	Message Authentication Code	HMACProvider.java:4	1	ر م
HMAC-SHA256	Algorithm	Message Authentication Code	KeycloakModelUtils.java:215		ر م
SECRET-KEY	Related Crypto Material	Unspecified	AesCbcHmacShaEnc	ryptionProvider.java:170	ر م
PUBLIC-KEY	Related Crypto Material	Unspecified	BCECDSACryptoProvider.java:80		ر م
▲ RSA-2048	Algorithm	Public Key Encryption	KeyUtils.java:69		ر م
KSA-2048	Algorithm	Public Key Encryption	RSAKeyValueType.ja	va:103	ر م
Items per page: 10 ∨ 1	1-20 of 128 items			2 ∨ of 13 pages	< ► ₂₈

Cryptography Bill of Materials (CBOM)

Object model to describe cryptographic assets and their dependencies. Developed by IBM, now OWASP standard

```
1 - {
   2
          "name": "RSA-2048",
          "type": "cryptographic-asset",
   3
          "bom-ref": "e2c92908-3559-4f86-8212-2e134dfce30a",
   4
          "evidence": {
   5 -
              "occurrences": [
   6 -
                  {
   7 -
                      "line": 110,
  8
                      "offset": 28,
   9
                      "location": "core/src/main/java/org/keycloak/jose/jwk/AbstractJWKParser.java",
  10
                      "additionalContext": "java.security.KeyFactory#getInstance(Ljava/lang/String;)Ljava/security/KeyFactory;"
 11
                  },
  12
 13 -
                  {
                      "line": 103.
 14
                      "offset": 39,
 15
                      "location": "saml-core-api/src/main/java/org/keycloak/dom/xmlsec/w3/xmldsig/RSAKeyValueType.java",
 16
                      "additionalContext": "java.security.KevFactory#getInstance(Ljava/lang/String:)Ljava/security/KevFactory:"
 17
 18
                  },
 19 -
                  {
                      "line": 122.
 20
                      "offset": 39.
 21
                      "location": "saml-core-api/src/main/java/org/keycloak/dom/xmlsec/w3/xmldsig/RSAKeyValueType.java",
 22
                      "additionalContext": "java.security.KevFactory#getInstance(Ljava/lang/String:)Ljava/security/KevFactory:"
 23
 24
                  }
 25
  26
 27
https://github.com/IBM/cbomkit/blob/main/example/keycloak-cbom.json
```

CBOM - Structure and Cryptographic Asset Types

rypto Properties								
Algorithm Properties								
Certificate Properties								
Protocol Properties								
Related Crypto Materials Properties								
	Public Key	Кеу	Salt	Credential	Password	Ciphertext		
	Private Key	Digest	Shared Secret	Token	Signature	Seed		
	Secret Key	Initialization Vector	Тад	Additional Data	Nonce	Other		

CBOM Authorative Guide



Authoritative Guide to CBOM

Implement Cryptography Bill of Materials for Post-Quantum Systems and Applications





https://cyclonedx.org/guides/OWASP_CycloneDX-Authoritative-Guide-to-CBOM-en.pdf

Consolidating Crypto Inventory



NEED TO CONSOLIDATE EVERYTHING IN ONE INVENTORY & KEEP IT UP-TO-DATE REQUIRES AUTOMATED, INTEGRATED PROCESSES \rightarrow LONG SHOT

Start simple, with a focus on your most valuable assets & external communication Consolidate what you already have



Agenda



- Why & What?
- In The Public Sector
- Crypto Inventory
- Crypto Policy as Code
- Cryptography in Hybrid Mode
- Outlook & Challenges
- Wrapping Up



Crypto policy – Current situation

Symmetric Encryption Schemes

Created by Kristof Verslype, last updated on Jul 29, 2024 • 5 minute read

Corresponds to section 3. Symmetric Encryption Schemes in BSI TR-02102-1 (version 2024).

Symmetric encryption schemes are used to guarantee the confidentiality of data that is transmitted, for example, via a public channe guaranteed. For integrity protection, see Chapter 6 and Section A.1. Even in cases where at first glance the protection of the confide integrity-securing mechanisms can easily lead to weaknesses in the overall cryptographic system, which then also makes the system active side-channel attacks,

3.1 Block ciphers

A *block cipher* is an algorithm that encrypts a plaintext of fixed bit length (for example 128 bits) by means of a key to a ciphertext of the same bit length. This bit length is also called *block size* of th of other lengths, block ciphers are applied in different *modes*.

3.1.1 Algorithm

Recommended

For new cryptographic applications, only block ciphers whose block size is at least 128 bits should be used. The following block ciphers are recommended for use in new cryptographic systems:

Algorithm name	Security level	Key Size	Block size	Reference
AES-128	128	128	128	FIPS PUB 197 [3]
AES-192	192	192	128	FIPS PUB 197 [3]
AES-256	256	256	128	FIPS PUB 197 [3]

So far, there are no negative findings on Serpent and Twofish, however, the security of those block ciphers has been examined much less intensively.

The best known attacks against AES that do not require related-keys achieve only a slight advantage over generic attacks.

Curent situation

- Smals has cryptographic recommendations.
- Based on recommendations German BSI
- Helps us to anticipate change
- Next step: express as code

ntegrity even th h vulne

Crypto policy as code - AES-128-GCM

CBOIM model			Recommendation	•	Keep structure		
<pre>'components": [{ "type": "cryptographic-asset", "name": "AES-128-GCM", "cryptoProperties": { "assetType": "algorithm", "algorithmProperties": { "</pre>			"components": [{ "type": "cryptographic-asset", "name": "AES-128-GCM", "cryptoProperties": { "assetType": "algorithm", "algorithmProperties": { "usignities": "user"; }	• • Reco •	Keep names and identifiers No information-duplication mmendations as guide Include additional information, s.a., conditions of use		
"primitive": "ae", "parameterSetIdentifier": "128", "mode": "gcm", "executionEnvironment": "software-plain-ram", "implementationPlatform": "x86_64", "certificationLevel": ["none"], "cryptoFunctions": ["keygen", "encrypt", "decrypt", "tag"],			<pre>}, "recommendation": { "level": "recommended", "standardization": ["FIPS PUB 197 (2001)", "NIST SP 800-38D (2007)"], "conditions": ["For initialization vectors, a bit length of 96 bits is recommended.", "A key change is required after at most 2^32 calls of the authenticated</pre>				
"nistQuantumSecurityLevel": 1	Level	Security Descrip	otion				
}, "oid": "2.16.840.1.101.3.4.1.6"	1	At least as hard to break as AES128 (exhaustive key search)			", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", ", "		
}	II	At least as hard to break as SHA256 (collision search) th IV = j, we never t					
5	Ш	At least as hard to break as AES192 (exhaustive key search)					
	IV	At least as hard	least as hard to break as AES192 (exhaustive key search)				
	V	At least as hard to break as AES256 (exhaustive key search)					

Design principles

Maximize CBOM compatibility

Deviations

Ensure in a controlled, managed way availability for users and compatibility with systems



Crypto policy as code



for society

EVERYTHING AS CODE ENABLES A HIGH DEGREE OF AUTOMATION AND INSIGHT

SMALS RESEARCH IS WORKING ON THIS



SHA1 SHA-224 (SHA-2) **SHA-256** (SHA-2) **SHA-384** (SHA-2) **SHA-512** (SHA-2)

Agenda

- Why & What?
- In the Public Sector
- Crypto Inventory
- Crypto Policy as Code
- Cryptography in Hybrid Mode
- Outlook & Challenges
- Wrapping Up



Transitional period in Hybrid Mode

Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik

The quantum-safe algorithms that are currently being standardized are not yet as well researched as the "classical" methods (for example RSA and ECC). This applies in particular to weaknesses that largely only become apparent in applications, such as typical implementation errors, possible sidechannel attacks, etc. BSI therefore that recommends post-quantum cryptography should not be used in isolation, if possible, but only in hybrid mode, i.e., in combination with classical algorithms. [...] Hash-based signatures can in principle also be used on its own (i.e., not in hybrid mode).

Quantum-safe cryptography –fundamentals, current developments and recommendations. October 2022





Key agreement with classical cryptography

Highly trusted, but quantum vulnerable





Key agreement with PQC (Post-Quantum Cryptography)

Quantum resistant, but not yet sufficiently trusted





Key agreement – Hybrid mode with Crypto Agility



ICT for society

SHA1 SHA-224 (SHA-2) **SHA-256** (SHA-2) **SHA-384** (SHA-2) **SHA-512** (SHA-2)

Agenda

- Why & What?
- In the Public Sector
- Crypto Inventory
- Crypto Policy as Code
- Cryptography in Hybrid Mode
- Outlook & Challenges
- Wrapping Up

Possible Future Architecture

С

Ε

Ν

Т

R

Α

L

0

С

Α







Possible Future Architecture

RecommendedPhase outSecureInsecure



Possible Future Architecture

RecommendedPhase outSecureInsecure



Crypto-Agility Maturity Model (CAMM)

Proposal – not yet standardized or adopted – for IT-systems

Initial / Not possible

At least one subsystem or component violates L1 requirements



Possible

Systems can be adapted to respond dynamically to future crypto challenges

Knowledge

- System knowledge
- Cryptography inventory

Process

- Updateability
- ✤ Reversibility

System property

✤ Extensibility

Prepared

Actual crypto migration still requires some preparatory work

Knowledge

Algorithm IDs

System property

- Cryptographic modularity (API)
- ✤ Algorithm
- intersection
- Algorithm exclusion
- Opportunistic
 - security
- Usability of crypto agility

Practiced

Crypto migration demonstrable, effectively and securely feasible

Knowledge

- Performance awareness
- Secure crypto agility

Process

- Policies
- Compliance testing
- Enforceability of CA
- Transition
- mechanism
- Effectiveness

System property

- Hardware modularity
- Backwards compatibility

Sophisticated

Enables fast crypto migration, applied on broader infrastructure

Process

- ✤ Automation
- Scalability
- ✤ Real-time

System property

- Context independence
- Cross-system interoperability



Crypto-Agility Maturity Model (CAMM)

Proposal – not yet standardized or adopted – for IT-systems

Prepared Initial / Not Sophisticated possible Knowledge **Knowledge** Knowledge Performance CRYPTOGRAPHIC AGILITY IS A JOURNEY . (NO OFFICIAL ROADMAPS AVAILABLE YET) System property • System property • System property Hardware modularity

Challenges & Open questions

		Research on CA		
Performance		Legacy	Middleboxes	2
Standards	tandards		wngrade attacks	
QR-codes		IoT Incompatibilities		
Advanced cryptography			Guidance	
>	(.509 cert	ificates	Smartcards	
HSMs		Cryptog	raphic accelerators	



BECOMING QUANTUM-READY IS HARD, BECOMING CRYPTO AGILE EVEN HARDER BUT... IT PAYS OFF IN THE LONG RUN!



Increased Overhead

Digital signature algorithms

	Quantum Resistant	Public key size (in bytes)	Signature size (in bytes)	CPU time - sign (lower is better)	CPU time- verify (lower is better)
Ed25519 (Elliptic curves)	No	32	64	1 (baseline)	1 (baseline)
RSA-2048	No	256	256	70	0,3
ML-DSA-44 (Dilitium2)	Yes	1 312	2 420	4,8	0,5
FN-DSA-512 (Falcon512)	Yes	897	666	8	0,5
SLH-DSA-128s (SPHINCS+128s)	Yes	32	7 856		2,8
SLH-DSA-128f (SPHINCS+128f)	Yes	32	17 088		7

Impact



. ,

Protocol Ossification

Loss of flexibility, extensibility and evolvability of network protocols.



PROTOCOL OSSIFICATION HINDERS CRYPTO AGILITY

IMPORTANCE OF TESTING BEFORE MIGRATION IN LIFE PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT



Agenda SHA1

• Why & What?

- In the Public Sector
- Crypto Inventory
- Crypto Policy as Code
- Cryptography in Hybrid Mode
- Outlook & Challenges
- Wrapping Up





Advice by the BSI



"

If I could give companies and organisations three pieces of advice as they prepare for quantum safety, they would be:

- Include the threat in your risk management system
- Create a crypto inventory
- Implement and use crypto-agility



Dr. Gerhard Schabhüser Vice President, BSI



Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik

Crypto inventory

Start simple, with a focus on your most valuable assets & external communication. Consolidate what you already have.

Crypto agility

- In-house development → focus on cases that may save money
- Stimulate your vendors / suppliers! What are their plans?



"

<u>Source</u>: KPMG, BSI. *Market Survey on Cryptography and Quantum Computing*. 22/08/2023.

Recommendations by the NSA



"IAD [Defensive branch of NSA] will initiate a transition to quantum resistant algorithms in the not-too-distant future. [...] For those partners and vendors that have not yet made the transition to Suite B elliptic curve algorithms, we recommend not making a significant expenditure to do so at this point but instead to prepare for the upcoming quantum resistant algorithm transition."

> NAS-CSS. Commercial National Security Algorithm Suite. 19 August 2015.





Some more advice on quantum-readiness

Fear is a bad guide

We are not sure if quantum computers will ever be strong enough to break classical public-key cryptography (for sure not in the next 5 years) Nevertheless, we should mitigate the risk.

Have your priorities right

- Focus on (external) communication Harvest now decrypt later attack: Intercepted encrypted communication is decrypted later
- Focus on most sensitive assets

Prepare to go with the flow

Public-key crypto often relies on certificates. Certificate authorities will determine when to introduce new and phase-out old crypto. **Be sure to be ready for this!**





Some more advice on cryptographic maturity



Improve cryptography asset management

- ☆ Assumption in the past: "RSA and elliptic curve cryptography rock-solid" → Historical neglect
- ↔ Crypto inventory / policy / agility \rightarrow *No-regret moves*

Think long-term

Crypto inventory and crypto agility prevent further expenses in the long run. They are long-term investments → Insight, compliance, quick vulnerability response

Don't get stuck in the past. Focus on the future

- Don't waste resources on refactoring legacy that reaches end-of-life in a few years
- Embrace crypto agility as design principle for new applications



Quantum readiness & crypto agility @ Smals



Central focus Smals Research

Post-quantum cryptography, Crypto inventory, Crypto agility and crypto policy as code

Smals is already taking initiatives Sepia, Middleware key vault, automated certificate management

Plan of action Smals

Coming later this year Awareness, inventory, risk analysis, next steps, ...

✤ We are early!



In summary - Crypto Migrations

Cryptography

- ✤ Is everywhere \rightarrow Need for secure cryptography
- Public-key cryptography required for, among others, key-exchange (communication), authentication and digital signatures
- Classical public-key cryptography based mainly on RSA and elliptic curves

Cryptography under attack

- Future quantum computers may break classical public-key cryptography
- Also other threats, such as cryptanalysis and side-channel attacks (cfr. SIKE, a NIST post-quantum finalist, broken in 2022)
- Focus on communication, due to harvest now, decrypt later attack. Encrypted data intercepted today may be decryptable in the future

Cryptography migrations

- Multiple migrations in the past
 - \rightarrow slow, cumbersome & expensive (5-15 years)
- Most likely, multiple migrations in the future
- Need to facilitate migrations
 - \rightarrow make applications & infrastructure crypto agile!





In summary – Crypto Agility

Properties

- Applications no longer embed crypto logic, but instead consume cryptography by using APIs that hide crypto details
- Multiple cryptographic functions supported simultaneously
- Systems can choose/negotiate in real-time which ones to use
- New cryptographic functions can be easily added and removed
- Without affecting system availability

Cryptographic functions: algorithms, implementations, cipher suites, hardware, ...

History

- Cryptographic protocol agility already adopted, for instance by TLS clients.
- Crypto agility is on the level of a broader infrastructure
- ✤ Term only recently more widely adopted, due to the quantum threat
 → Still al lot of work to be done by industry
- Quantum resistant and/or crypto agile systems are still rare
 Smals is early!





In summary – Lessons

Cryptographic maturity

- Crypto agility requires a
 - Crypto inventory: what crypto is used where?
 - Crypto policy: what crypto is recommended, insecure, ...
- \rightarrow Agility / inventory / policy improve organisation's crypto maturity

Everything as code

- Crypto inventory as code & policy as code enable automated processes and management of complexity related to cryptography asset management
- Standards required for interoperability: CBOM (Cryptography Bill Of Materials) to express crypto inventory. Likely adopted by industry
- Smals Research is working on CBOM-inspired crypto policy as code

Lessons

- Cryptographic agility implies centralization and automated processes
- Importance of testing before migration in life production environment
- Cryptographic agility is a journey (No adopted maturity model yet)





Crypto migration handbook (AIVD)



https://english.aivd.nl/publications/publication s/2024/12/3/the-pqc-migration-handbook

NIST report

NIST Internal Report NIST IR 8547 ipd

Transition to Post-Quantum Cryptography Standards

Initial Public Draft

Dustin Moody Ray Perlner Andrew Regenscheid Angela Robinson David Cooper

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8547.ipd



https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ ir/2024/NIST.IR.8547.ipd.pdf

Articles Smals Research



www.smalsresearch.be/tag/quantu m-computing/









Thanks for your attention!

Feedback / questions / discussions welcome!

kristof.verslype@smals.be
+32(0)2 7875376
Inkedin.com/in/verslype

<u>چ</u>

www.smals.be www.smalsresearch.be Please share your feedback with us!

